

Case Study 5 — Customer Shopping Behaviour Analysis

Role: Data Analyst (portfolio project) · **Domain:** Retail / e-commerce

Stack: Python (pandas) · **PostgreSQL** (pgAdmin) · **Power BI** · Excel

Deliverables: cleaned dataset · 10 business questions answered in SQL · interactive Power BI dashboard · written report + stakeholder presentation

Built on a public retail dataset used for portfolio purposes — no client or confidential data.

The Problem

A retailer had transactional data sitting in a spreadsheet but **no view of who actually drives revenue**. Leadership couldn't answer basic commercial questions: which customers are worth retaining, whether discounts are buying loyalty or just eroding margin, whether subscribers spend more, and which products deserve shelf priority. Raw transactions don't answer those — they have to be cleaned, modelled and asked the right questions.

What I Built

An **end-to-end analytics pipeline**, from raw file to boardroom-ready dashboard:

1. **Clean (Python / pandas)** — loaded the data, profiled it with `df.info()` and `.describe()`, and handled missing values: **37 missing review ratings** imputed with the **median rating of their own product category**, not a single global median and not by dropping the rows. Two deliberate choices there: the **median** because it isn't dragged by outliers the way the mean is, and **per category** because Clothing, Footwear and Accessories have genuinely different rating distributions — one blended average would have pulled ratings toward the middle and introduced bias into every category at once.
2. **Analyse (PostgreSQL)** — loaded the cleaned data into Postgres and answered **10 business questions** in SQL, using CTEs, window functions, conditional aggregation and subqueries.
3. **Visualise (Power BI)** — built an interactive **Customer Behaviour Dashboard** with KPI cards, slicers (subscription, gender, category, shipping type) and revenue/sales breakdowns by category and age group.
4. **Communicate** — wrote a structured report and a stakeholder presentation with prioritised recommendations.

The Data

Transactions	3,900
Columns	18
Missing values handled	37 review ratings (category-wise median imputation)
Fields	demographics, purchase amount, category, item, review rating, discount, subscription, shipping type, previous purchases

The SQL Techniques

This project is where the SQL craft shows:

- **CTEs (`WITH ...`)** to stage logic in readable steps — used to build a customer-segmentation layer before aggregating it.
- **Window functions** — `ROW_NUMBER() OVER (PARTITION BY category ORDER BY COUNT(customer_id) DESC)` to rank the top products *within* each category.
- **A deliberate ranking choice.** I used `ROW_NUMBER()` rather than `RANK()` or `DENSE_RANK()` because ties would have produced shared ranks and **fewer than three distinct products per category**. `ROW_NUMBER()` guarantees exactly a top 3.
- **Conditional aggregation** — `ROUND(100 SUM(CASE WHEN discount_applied = 'Yes' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) / COUNT(), 2)` to compute a discount rate per product in a single pass.
- **CASE segmentation** — classifying customers as New / Returning / Loyal from their purchase history.
- **Subqueries** — comparing individual spend against `(SELECT AVG(purchase_amount) ...)`.
- **Type casting for correctness** — `review_rating::numeric` before `ROUND()`, because Postgres stored the column as `DOUBLE PRECISION` and `ROUND()` behaves differently on floating point.

The Findings

Question	Result
Headline KPIs	3.9K customers · \$59.76 average purchase · 3.75 average rating
Revenue by gender	Male \$157,890 vs Female \$75,191

Customer segments	Loyal 3,116 · Returning 701 · New 83
Revenue by age group	Young Adult \$62,143 · Middle-aged \$59,197 · Adult \$55,978 · Senior \$55,763
Subscription mix	Only 27% of customers subscribe
Shipping	Express \$60.48 vs Standard \$58.46 average purchase
Top-rated products	Gloves (3.86) · Sandals (3.84) · Boots (3.82)

What it means:

- The customer base is **overwhelmingly loyal** (3,116 of 3,900) — the commercial risk isn't acquisition, it's **failing to monetise an already-retained base**.
- **Subscription is the biggest open opportunity**: 73% of customers aren't subscribed, despite a loyal base that is well-suited to it.
- **Revenue is remarkably even across age groups** (a ~\$6K spread), so age is a weak targeting variable — segment on *behaviour* instead.
- **Discounts need scrutiny** — several products depend heavily on discounted purchases, which risks training customers to wait for markdowns.

The Recommendations

- **Boost subscriptions** — promote exclusive subscriber benefits to convert the 73% non-subscribed majority.
- **Build a loyalty programme** — reward repeat buyers and move Returning customers into the Loyal segment.
- **Review discount policy** — balance volume against margin on the discount-dependent products.
- **Prioritise by behaviour, not demographics** — age barely differentiates revenue; previous-purchase behaviour does.

What's in this case study

- **Power BI dashboard** — the interactive Customer Behaviour Dashboard (KPI cards, slicers, category and age-group breakdowns).
- **SQL Highlights** — a curated, syntax-highlighted excerpt of the actual PostgreSQL, including the CTE segmentation and the windowed top-3-per-category query.

Skills demonstrated: end-to-end analytics pipeline · **advanced SQL** (CTEs · window functions · conditional aggregation · subqueries · type casting) · data cleaning & missing-value strategy (Python/pandas) · **Power BI** dashboard design · customer segmentation · commercial insight and stakeholder recommendations.